WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	United States of America v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		Abel Ju	uarez-Ramos	Case Number:	15-330MJ
				42(f), a detention hearing has been oth, as applicable.)	submitted to the Court. I conclude
	-		convincing evidence the defendan n this case.	t is a danger to the community and	require the detention of the defendant
		•	erance of the evidence the defend n this case.	ant is a serious flight risk and requi	re the detention of the defendant
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)		• (/ (/ (/	ant has been convicted of a (federal	al offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is
			a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maxim	num sentence is life imprisonment o	or death.
			an offense for which a maximu	m term of imprisonment of ten year	s or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed af described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(ter the defendant had been convict f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ed of two or more prior federal offenses local offenses.
			any felony that involves a mino device (as those terms are defito register under 18 U.S.C. §22	ned in section 921), or any other da	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)	18 U. pendi	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense ng trial for a federal, state or local	described in finding 1 was commit offense.	ted while the defendant was on release
	(3)	18 U. convi	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of ction)(release of the defendant from	not more than five years has elapsom imprisonment) for the offense de	ed since the (date of escribed in finding 1.
	(4)	will re	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish easonably assure the safety of (an abutted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that no control)other person(s) and the communit	ondition or combination of conditions y. I further find that the defendant has
			Α	Iternative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probab	le cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of ir	mprisonment of ten years or more is	s prescribed in1
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.	
				r which a maximum term of imprisc	nment of 20 years or more is
			an offense involving a minor vi	ctim under section	²
	(2)	The d	lefendant has not rebutted the pre	sumption established by finding 1 to	hat no condition or combination of

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,07,2425.$

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonal assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	ably			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, o intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	r			
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and con evidence as to danger that:	vincing			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
_	·				
	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report.				
	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report.				

 $^{^{3}}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:15-mj-00330-MHB Document 4 Filed 08/06/15 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant has ties to a foreign country and has used multiple aliases.

There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States.

The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 5th day of August, 2015.

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge